

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/269,972	04/08/1999	YUKIO NAKAJIMA	Q53854	1844	
75	590 11/24/2006	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			CRAIG, DWIN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/269,972	NAKAJIMA, YUKIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dwin M. Craig	2123		

	Dwin M. Craig	.2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 26 August 2006. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replAMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	•
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re		
<ul><li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		ompliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	•	n condition for allowan	ce pecause:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	PAUL RODRIGUEZ "/2/or	Dwin McTaggart Cra	aig
<i>-</i>	PAUL RODRIGUEZ  UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 13. Other: The newly proposed claims have changed the scope of the previously proposed claims and would required a new search .